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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of weight bearing exercises for systemic lupus erythematosus patients suffered from osteoporosis.

Methods: Forty patients were randomly divided into two groups of equal number. Exercise group (E) received weight-bearing exercises and the control group (C) didn’t receive any type of exercise. Bone mineral density was measured by Dual X-ray absorptiometry at the beginning of the study and after 2 months for all patients.

Results: The results showed that there were significant positive changes in bone mineral density in exercise group while there was a decrease in Bone mineral density in control group.

Conclusion: It could be concluded that weight bearing exercises program have a significant improvement effect in bone mineral density in systemic lupus erythematosus patients suffered from osteoporosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease of unknown etiology. Mortality and morbidity result from the disease activity and its treatment [1,2]. Despite the development of new treatments, glucocorticoid remains the main stay of management of SLE. The use of glucocorticoids in SLE is associated with some side effects and is the major cause of organ damage. Of the various complications of chronic glucocorticoid treatment in SLE patients, osteoporosis and its consequences are among the most serious [3,4].

Systemic intake of corticosteroids is a well-known cause of osteoporosis [5], and corticosteroids affect the bone in several ways. They cause diminished bone formation and increased bone resorption. Also, they decrease intestinal calcium absorption, increase urinary calcium excretion, and disturb vitamin D metabolism.[6]

Some studies [7-12] have addressed the problem of low BMD in patients with SLE. Osteoporosis occurs in up to 24% of patients at the lumbar spine and 23% at the femoral hip. In general, the loss of BMD is more severe at the spine than the hip, which is consistent with the predominant effect of glucocorticoids on trabecular bones [13].

Besides long term glucocorticoid treatment, SLE patients are particularly prone to osteoporosis because of renal insufficiency, premature ovarian failure, avoidance of sun exposure, disabling arthritis and myopathy, failure to achieve a peak bone mass and the use of other medications.
such as anticoagulants and anticonvulsants[10].

Osteoporosis is a major public health concern. It is a silent heterogenous skeletal disease of multifactorial origin characterized by excessively low bone density, bone fragility, and increased risk of fracture with relatively minor trauma. The disease has a high prevalence in middle-aged and older adults [14,15]. Exercise, nutrition, and medication are currently recommended for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis [16]. Weight bearing exercise is the exercise that makes body works against gravity. Regular weight bearing exercises help to produce strong bone and are good options for promoting healthy bones [17].

Physical activity in specific programmed weight-bearing exercises may protect postmenopausal against rapid decline in bone mass. There is little literature document the effect of weight bearing exercises on SLE patients suffered from osteoporosis. Therefore, this study was designed to determine the clinical evidence for the effectiveness of weight bearing exercises on patients with SLE suffered from osteoporosis.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients: Forty patients with SLE who suffered from osteoporosis participated in the study. They were selected from Al-Kaser Eini Hospital between June 2015 to September 2017. Patients were randomly classified into two groups of equal number; Group (E): (Exercises Group) that included twenty patients and received weight bearing exercises for fifty minutes twice per week for two months [18]. Group (C): (control group); they included twenty patients and did not receive any exercise, but they were encouraged to maintain their ordinary level of physical activity during the study period. Each patient was informed verbally and in writing about the trial and all of them gave their written consent. The criteria for patients’ selection were classified into two various categories; inclusion criteria included; their ages above 45 years, both sexes were included, they had already received oral corticosteroids daily for at least six months, patients with BMD < -2.5. Patients in a good psychological state to continue the exercise program. Any patient should sign consent form prior to participation. Exclusion criteria included; patients who were receiving medical treatment for osteoporosis, hyperparathyroidism, alcoholism, Heavy smoker, body mass index (BMI) of 19 or less, patients who had any other disease that may restrict performing the exercise program, patients with a bad psychological state to start or continue the treatment.

Methods

Measurement of bone density

Measuring equipment:
Dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the most commonly used method to measure bone mineral density (BMD) due to its high accuracy, short scan times, and stable calibration in clinical uses. DXA apparatus allows scanning of the following sites lumbar spine, proximal femur, and distal forearm. These sites are regarded as the most important measurement sites as they are frequent sites for fractures that cause impairment of the quality of life and increased morbidity and mortality. Assessment of BMD in these sites is an accurate marker for osteoporosis that helps to identify the risk for fracture [19].

Measurement procedures: All DXA measurements were done on DXA apparatus (GE, Lunar scanner, Madison Wis) fig (1). The technologist performed a quality control check for apparatus before the beginning of measurement according to the manufacturer’s instructions. BMD measurements were conducted lumbar spine, left hip, and left forearm. The patients lay supine on imaging table and his legs raised and supported by lumbar spine measurement. For hip measurements, The patients lay supine on imaging table and his legs flat on the imag-
ing table with feet strapped to a feet holder that position the measured leg at 30° inward rotation. The patient sat beside the table with the arm supported on imaging table for forearm measurement. L2–L4 is used as a reference for lumbar spine, the total femur for the proximal femur, and the one-third-radius for the forearm BMD measurements. Bone density measurement was conducted before the first session and after two months of treatment. The density results of these bones were then compared with an average index based on age, sex fig(2). The resulting comparison is used to detect risk for fractures and the stage of osteoporosis in an individual.

Average bone mineral density = \( \text{BMC/W (g/cm}^2\) .\(\text{) (BMC = bone mineral content = g/cm, W = width at the scanned line) [20,21].}\)

![Fig. 2: Example DEXA chart and results](image)

**Treatment procedures:** In this study, the treatment protocol was presented for Group (E) in the form of an exercise program lasted for 50 minutes [18], twice weekly and consisted of different weight bearing phases that achieved under the following phases; warming up, exercises, cooling down phase.

**Warming up phase:** The main aim of this phase was to get the muscles warm and loose for strength training. The patient has to train for ten minutes on a stationary bicycle at a mild velocity before the beginning of each exercise session. Bicycling helps direct needed blood flow to the muscles and prepares the body for exercise. Warming up is important for preventing injury as well as gaining maximal benefit from the exercise because loose and warm muscles respond better to the challenge of the program [18].

**Exercise program phase:** Exercise program phase consisted of three stages of exercises. Each stage contained a group of weight bearing exercises that focused on certain muscle groups and body parts aiming to load and impact the skeleton through both body weight and muscle action to stimulate the further bone formation and to increase bone density [18].

**Stage 1:** It consisted of four types of exercises and lasted for three weeks. The four types of exercises were; squats for five minutes, wall pushups for five minutes, toe stands for five minutes, walking on a treadmill for fifteen minutes fig (3).

**Stage 2:** This stage lasted three weeks and included four types of exercises; biceps curl for five minutes, step-ups for five minutes, hip abduction for five minutes, walking on a treadmill for fifteen minutes.

**Stage 3:** This stage lasted two weeks and included three types of exercises; Knee extension for five minutes, knee curl for five minutes, walking on a treadmill for twenty minutes.

**Cooling down phase:** It is of great importance to cool down after exercises to prevent muscle pain and sourness that may follow an unaccustomed physical effort. Cooling down should be performed for ten minutes at the end of each exercise session. It consisted of the following exercises; Quadriceps stretch, Hamstring and calf stretch, Chest and arm stretch, Neck, upper back and shoulder stretch [18].

Warming up and cooling down are essential parts of the exercise program. They should be done before and after each session respectively, and they are included in the total time of the session. Exercise program was progressed to other stage according to the patient ability through exercises intensity indicator fig (4).

**Statistical procedures and data analysis:** Data were encoded and entered using Microsoft Excel version 13 (Microsoft Corporation, NY, USA) and SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistical program. Data were statistically described in
terms of range, mean, standard deviation (SD), median, frequencies (number of cases), and relative frequencies (percentages) whenever appropriate. Comparison of quantitative data between both groups in the present study was done using Student’s t-test for independent samples that were normally distributed and the Mann Whitney U test for independent samples when they were not normally distributed. Paired-t test for comparison between pre and post treatment measurements of BMD in each group and Wilcoxon signed rank for data that were not normally distributed. Chi square test was used to compare data between the sexes. A probability value (P) less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient demographic data and Initial clinical assessment: The patient demographic data including, age, sex, duration of SLE, causes of osteoporosis, cumulative doses of glucocorticoid were recorded, compared and analyzed for both groups. The clinical examinations included measurements of height and weight for calculation of BMI (BMI=weight/height²). Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured at lumbar, hip and forearm sites for all patients in both groups before and after two months of treatment.

Comparing patient demographic data for both groups revealed that there was no significant differences between both groups regarding age, sex, duration of SLE, causes of osteoporosis and the cumulative doses of glucocorticoid as (p > 0.05).

Initial clinical assessment (weight, height, BMI, BMD at lumbar, hip, forearm were compared before treatment and revealed no significant differences between both groups as (p > 0.05) as shown in the Table (1).

Bone mineral density (BMD) results:

Pre and post treatment mean values of BMD of exercise group: Table (2) showed that the pre-treatment mean values of BMD at lumbar, hip, forearm were 0.844±0.125 g/cm², 0.777±0.085 g/cm², and 0.630±0.050 g/cm² while post-treatment mean values of BMD were 0.928±0.084 g/cm², 0.797±0.079 g/cm² and 0.660±0.049 g/cm². The mean differences between pre and post treatment were 0.08, 0.02, 0.03 and the percentages...
of improvement were 10%, 2.6%, 4.8%. There was a significant increase in BMD in exercise group post treatment compared with pretreatment (p < 0.000).

Table 1: Comparison of patient demographic data and initial clinical assessment (age, sex, duration of SLE, causes of osteoporosis and the cumulative doses of glucocorticoid, weight, height, and BMI, BMD at lumber, hip, forearm between both groups (Exercise and Control) before treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Exercise group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>t- value</th>
<th>p- value</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.60±5.53</td>
<td>54.30±4.13</td>
<td>-0.454</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F) N/%</td>
<td>5(25%)/15 (75%)</td>
<td>4(20%)/16 (80%)</td>
<td>z value 0.374</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>76.95±10.25</td>
<td>76.85±10.80</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>165.65±6.43</td>
<td>166.85±6.41</td>
<td>-0.591</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.06±3.42</td>
<td>27.71±4.41</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>duration of SLE</td>
<td>28.10±12.06</td>
<td>29.10±11.39</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison between pre and post treatment mean values of BMD (g/cm²) of exercise group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMD (g/cm²)</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>% of improvement</th>
<th>t- value</th>
<th>p- value</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMD at lumber (pre)</td>
<td>0.844±0.125</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-4.509</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at lumber (post)</td>
<td>0.928±0.084</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>-7.118</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at hip (pre)</td>
<td>0.777±0.085</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>-3.839</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at forearm (pre)</td>
<td>0.630±0.049</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>-3.739</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at forearm (post)</td>
<td>0.640±0.049</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>-3.839</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison between pre and post treatment mean values of BMD (g/cm²) of control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BMD (g/cm²)</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>% of change</th>
<th>t- value</th>
<th>p- value</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMD at lumber (pre)</td>
<td>0.866±0.087</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.92%</td>
<td>-1.185</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at lumber (post)</td>
<td>0.858±0.084</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.92%</td>
<td>-1.185</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at hip (pre)</td>
<td>0.827±0.090</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>-4.20%</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at hip (post)</td>
<td>0.791±0.099</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>-4.20%</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at forearm (pre)</td>
<td>0.654±0.051</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.91%</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD at forearm (post)</td>
<td>0.648±0.058</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.91%</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre and post treatment mean values of BMD of control group: Table (3) showed that the pre-treatment mean values of BMD at lumbar, hip, forearm for control group were 0.866±0.087 g/cm², 0.827±0.090 g/cm², and 0.654±0.051 g/cm² while post-treatment mean values of BMD were 0.858±0.084 g/cm², 0.791±0.099 g/cm² and 0.654±0.058 g/cm². The mean differences between pre and post treatment were 0.008, 0.036, 0.006 and the percentages of change were -0.92%, -4.2%, -0.91%.

DISCUSSION

Bone weakness occurs in SLE due to several reasons; firstly, it is due to the disease itself since abnormalities in the immune system, such as an imbalance of inflammatory cytokines including inter-leukin-6, which is involved in the pathogenesis of accelerated bone remodeling, have been observed. Secondly, decreased physical activity due to arthritis must be taken into account. Prevention strategies therefore including any type of exercises such as weight bearing in everyday life, because it is known that among SLE patients, especially in corticosteroid exposed subjects; regular exercise is protective of bone mineral density loss [22-24]. Exercise is a valuable adjunct to programs aimed at alleviating the risks and symptoms of osteoporosis [25].

The findings of the current study indicated a significant increase in BMD at lumbar spine, right neck of femur, and right distal radial head occurred after application of weight bearing exercises program for exercise group. In contrast, there was decrease in BMD at lumbar spine, right neck of femur, and right distal radial head from the beginning to the end of the study duration in control group. The significant positive changes of “BMD” in Group E than Group C indicated that weight bearing had more influence on BMD.

The scientific explanations of these results that proved the efficacy of weight bearing exercises on bone mineral density are:

Bone tissue responds to repeated mechanical deformation and muscular contractions that leads to an increase in pulsed electric currents in bone via piezoelectric effects. When mechanical forces through mechanical loads during exercise are converted into electrical stimulus, particular bone tissue cells can begin the osteogenesis process increasing BMD.

Bones, like muscles, respond to stress by becoming bigger and stronger. Regular physical exercise puts physical stress on the body, helping to stimulate bone growth and maintain bone mass, and affords excellent general health benefits, the foremost being an increase in BMD. There is strong evidence that physical activity begun early in life provides higher peak bone mass. Peak bone mass and subsequent bone mineral maintenance is mostly affected by the interplay between mechanical stress, body composition, and nutrition and bone metabolism. Activities such as resistance training and weight bearing exercises are likely to be more beneficial because they help to build bones and preserve bone mass [26].

Weight bearing activity stimulates bone remodeling [27].

The results of this study regarding BMD are in agreement with previous studies conducted by Qin et al., [26], Kohert et al., [28], Rockville [29], Bouxsein [30], Meka et al., [31], Seigrist [27], Caplan et al., [32], and Kai et al., [25].

Qin et al., [26], mentioned that activities such as resistance training and weight bearing exercises are likely to be more beneficial because they help to build bones and preserve bone mass. Kohert et al., [28], reported that Current national and international consensus osteoporosis management guidelines recommend a combination of weight bearing and resistance training with challenging balance exercises to improve multiple risk factors for falls and fractures. Rockville [29], mentioned that exercise had been shown to be essential for maximizing peak bone mass and reducing subsequent bone loss. Also, Bouxsein [30], stated that high-impact exercise had been suggested to be the most effective regimen, and it has been suggested that induced gain is maintained after intervention.

Meka et al., [31], noted that Physical activity is the modifyable factor that can enhance bone accretion if the individual performs regularly. Weight bearing activity has been shown to increase bone accretion more than non-weight bearing activity.
Seigrist [27], highlighted that overall, the evidence strongly suggests that regular physical activity, especially started in childhood and adolescence, was a cheap and safe way of both improving bone strength and reducing the risk to fall.

Caplan et al., [32], reported that twice-weekly weight bearing aerobic exercise sessions had a protective effect on bone density in postmenopausal women besides associated with other measurable benefits.

Kai et al., [25] stated that recent prospective studies conducted in Denmark have shown that keeping of leisure physical activity at a moderate level seems to protect against hip fracture in later life, and that diminish physical activity is associated with greater risk of hip fracture. Regular moderate physical activity can help improve balance, strength, and coordination, thereby reducing the risk of falls and resulting injuries.

This study had some limitations; the number of patients was relatively small. Therefore, a larger sample size would provide a better insight into the efficacy of the weight bearing program. The measurements were done only before and after treatment without further follow-up assessment. Hence, it would be preferable to have follow-up of the patients involved for longer periods.

From the results of current and previous studies, it could be concluded that weight bearing exercises program have a significant improvement in bone mineral density, measured by DEXA.
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