# COMPARISON OF HIGH INTENSITY INTERVAL TO MODERATE INTENSITY CONTINUOUS AEROBIC EXERCISE ON VENTILATORY MARKERS IN CORONARY HEART DISEASE PATIENTS: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED STUDY

Walid Kamal M. Abdelbasset \*1,2, Shereen H. Elsayed 3, Tamer I. Abo Elyazed 4.

- \*1 Department of Physical Therapy and Health Rehabilitation, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Prince Sattam Bin Abdul Aziz University, Alkharj, Saudi Arabia.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Physical Therapy, Kasr Al-Aini Hospital, Cairo University, Egypt.
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Physical Therapy for Cardiovascular/Respiratory Disorder and Geriatrics, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, Egypt.
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Physical Therapy for Internal Medicine, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Beni-Suef University, Egypt.

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Objectives**: This study aim was to compare the impacts of high intensity interval (HII) to moderate intensity continuous exercise (MIC) on ventilatory markers in patients with coronary heart disease (CHD).

**Design:** Twenty eight patients with mild to moderate CHD aged 50-60 years were selected for this study. They randomized to two groups, each group comprised of 14 patients, group I received a program of high intensity interval exercise (HII 3 times/week for 12 weeks) and group II received a program of moderate intensity continuous aerobic exercise (MIC 3 times/week for 12 weeks). The ventilatory marker changes (O<sub>2</sub>P, slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and OUES) have been measured at the beginning and the end of the study.

**Result:** After 12 weeks of enrollment, HII group showed statistically significant improvement in  $O_2P$  but no significant changes in MIC group (21% with p<0.05 versus 1% with p>0.05). No significant differences appeared in VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and OUES (pre- and post- program) in the two groups p>0.05.

**Conclusions:** It was concluded that HII had greater improvements than MIC on ventilatory markers in patients with CHD in a short term (up to twelve weeks).

**KEY WORDS:** Coronary Heart Disease, High Intensity Interval Exercise, Moderate Intensity Continuous Exercise, Ventilatory Markers.

Address for correspondence: Walid Kamal Mohammed Abdelbasset, Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Therapy and Health Rehabilitation, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Prince Sattam bin Abdul-Aziz University (Formerly Salman bin Abdul-Aziz University), Alkharj, Saudi Arabia. Mobile: 00966561014872 E-Mail: walidkamal.wr@gmail.com

# **Access this Article online**

# **Quick Response code**



**DOI:** 10.16965/ijpr.2017.126

# International Journal of Physiotherapy and Research ISSN 2321- 1822

# www.ijmhr.org/ijpr.html

Received: 21-02-2017 Accepted: 03-04-2017
Peer Review: 22-02-2017 Published (P): 11-06-2017
Revised: None Published (P): 11-06-2017

# **INTRODUCTION**

Coronary heart disease is the commonest type of cardiovascular disease. It included a number

of diseases; myocardial infarction, angina (stable or unstable), ischemic heart disease and sudden arrest [1].

Cardiopulmonary exercise test has been more implemented in clinical assessment as it is a non-invasive method and able to recognize unknown lack of exercise endurance, these decisions were supported with respect to therapeutic interventions and helping prediction appreciate [2].

Maximal oxygen consumption (peak VO<sub>2</sub>) is commonly the highest useable in the clinical and studies assessment as it is the ventilator expired gas variable which obtained during exercise testing. Although the normal recognized value of peak VO<sub>2</sub>, other cardiopulmonary exercise test variables like oxygen pulse (O,P), oxygen uptake efficiency slope (OUES) and the slope of ventilation and carbon dioxide production ratio (VE/VCO, slope) were revealed as important values in studies and researches. The slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and OUES were appearing as a more predictive value than peak VO, in patients with cardiac disease, while a level of O<sub>2</sub>P was regarded as a sign of myocardial ischemic [2]. Ventilatory changes during exercise training were identified as a clinical marker of cardiac disease, such as improvement of cardiovascular function in cardiac patients [3].

Some studies concentrated on the impacts of exercise on ventilatory markers and heart function involved slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub>, OUES and O<sub>2</sub>P. Generally, exercise has been revealed to enhance ventilatory marker in patients with heart failure, the outcomes of these studies are slightly blended when coronary heart disease patients are assigned [4].

It was appeared that the program of HII enhanced aerobic tolerance more than the program of MIC, and so recommended that it would be more beneficial in cardiac patients [2,5].

It has shown that recent studies have evaluated the impacts of different intensities of aerobic training, performed by patients with coronary heart disease [6,7]. Also, a recent review has explained that HII program was more effective than continuous exercise program in patients with CHD [8].

The current study illustrated that different intensities of aerobic exercise could be able to enhance O<sub>2</sub>P peak in patients with CHD [6]. In addition, models of aerobic exercise should be

assessed in patients with CHD and its effects in clinical record parameters.

Earlier studies have investigated the impacts of exercise on clinical record of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope, OUES and O<sub>2</sub>P [9]. Also, HII versus MIC on ventilatory markers are still indigent defined in patients with coronary heart disease (CHD). The hypothesis was that both HII and MIC would enhance the ventilatory markers in patients with CHD. Also, hypothesis were that no differences between HII and MIC.

**Objectives:** This study aim was to compare the impacts of high intensity interval exercise (HII) to moderate intensity continuous exercise (MIC) on ventilatory markers in patients with CHD.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Subjects: Between April 2016 to July 2016, twenty eight patients with CHD were randomly selected for this study. These patients were diagnosed with mild to moderate CHD according to the American Heart Association standard criteria [10], aged 50-60 years, their ejection fraction (EF) greater than 50%. Acute myocardial infarction or revascularisation (<90 days), pacemakers, heart failure, musculoskeletal limitations and changes in medication are excluded from this study. The participant patients were selected from the cardiac rehabilitation unit, Cairo University Hospitals. The twenty eight patients were randomly classified into two groups; each group consisted of fourteen patients. The group I received (HII 3 times weekly / 12 weeks) and Group II received (MIC 3 times weekly / 12 weeks). This study was approved by the ethical committee of Physical Therapy Department, Cairo University Hospitals.

Study design: This study was a randomized controlled study. After selection of the patients an informed consent was taken from all patients that participated in the study, before starting the study all patients were informed about the aims, benefits and procedure of the study. Patients were assessed for ventilatory markers (peak VO<sub>2</sub>, slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub>, OUES and O<sub>2</sub>P) before starting the study and at the end of 12 weeks by the same investigator who was blinded concerning the group to which each patient was appointed. Patients have been randomly assigned into two groups: HII and MIC.

**Evaluation:** Before and after the exercise intervention, cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPT) was applied. To determine each patient's threshold of tolerance the increments of work rate were subjected within 8–12 min, as beforehand explained [10]. Borg score was applied to evaluate the discerned effort. Ventilatory markers and HR were recorded every 20s.

To measure The  $O_2P$ ,  $VO_2$  was divided by HR and also recorded every 20s within CPT. the  $O_2P$  curve slopes were compared before and after the exercise program. Also, slope of  $VE/VCO_2$  and OUES were recorded at the start and after 12 weeks of the program at the end of the study.

Treatment: Every CHD patient was consented to perform treadmill aerobic exercise, 3 times / week for 12 weeks. The group I received (HII 3 times/week) for twelve weeks, according to the following parameters; 5 minutes warm up, followed by 30 minutes of high intensity interval exercise with intensity lower (60% maxHR) and higher (90% maxHR) workloads were interchanged within 2min. Group II received (MIC 3 times/week) for twelve weeks, according to following parameters; 5 minutes warm up, followed by 30 minutes of continuous aerobic exercise with constant intensity at 70 to 75% of peak HR and 5 minutes cool down. The ECG measures were recorded by cardiologist every week to check exercise protocols. Also, these measures were obtained at the beginning and the end of the intervention.

**Statistical Analysis:** Descriptive statistics were applied with mean  $\pm$  SD. Baseline and clinical characteristics between the two groups were compared by unpaired t-test. It was investigated the changes of ventilatory markers at the end of the intervention using unpaired t-test between the groups and paired t-test within group, data analysis was applied by SPSS version 17.0 with statistical significance at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

#### **RESULTS**

Twenty eight patients (19 males & 9 females) with mild to moderate CHD were included in this study. Patients were randomized to HII (group I) and MIC (group II). At the start of the study, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in their ages, BMI, EF, medical diagnosis and treatment with

p-valued  $\leq$  0.05. The baseline characteristics of all participating patients in the both groups are mentioned in table 1. These data clarified that the two groups had similar characteristics.

**Table 1:** Baseline characteristics between group I and group II.

Items	Group I	Group II	p-value				
Baseline characteristics							
Male (%)	71.4	64.3	0.8779				
Age (yrs)	57.3 ± 4.4	56.9 ± 4.7	0.818				
Weight (Kg)	80.7± 5.7	79.2 ± 7.6	0.5598				
Height (cm)	170 ± 2.3	171 ± 3.6	0.3891				
Body mass index (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27.68 ± 3.3	27.08 ± 3.6	0.6496				
Ejection fraction (%)	63.7 ± 12.3	62.5 ± 10.6	0.5244				
Medical Diagnosis (%)							
Myocardial infarction	57.1	64.3	0.5946				
Ischemic heart disease	50	50	0.9998				
Medical Treatment (%)							
Coronary angioplasty	85.7	78.6	0.3115				
Myocardial revascularization	71.4	64.3	0.5664				
Significance at (p ≤ 0.05)							

The results of this study showed that the peak VO, and peak O,P improved were statistically significant improved in group I (HII) p<0.05, while remaining stable in group II (MIC) p>0.05. But in ventilatory threshold, There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in VO, and VE pre- and post- program. Also, there was no statistically significant improvement in slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and OUES in both groups. The percentage of change in O<sub>2</sub>P for group (I) was 21%, whereas, in group (II) was 1%. Compared with recipients of (MIC) group II, recipients of (HII) group I had the significant improvement in O<sub>3</sub>P (p<0.05). These results clarified that the HII was more effective in patients with coronary heart disease as mentioned in table 2.

**Table 2:** Ventilatory outcomes of CPT at ventilatory and peak threshold between group I &II.

Items	Group I		Group II		p-value		
	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	p-value		
Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test (CPT)							
Ventilation (L/min)	46 ± 11	54 ± 14	45 ± 12	49 ± 13	0.3365		
VO <sub>2</sub> peak (mL Kg-1 min-1)	21.2 ± 6	25.6± 5.4*	21.5 ± 6	21.7 ± 4.4	0.0461*		
Oxygen pulse (mL/beat)	12.3 ± 3.3	14.9±2.02*	12.2± 3	12.3 ± 2.4	0.0459*		
VE/VCO <sub>2</sub> slope	28.1 ± 5.6	27.3 ± 4.3	27.8 ± 5.2	26.9 ± 3.5	0.7893		
OUES	1.8 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.8	0.9878		
Respiratory exchange ratio	1.03 ± 0.2	1.02 ± 0.2	1.04 ± 0.1	1.06 ± 0.1	0.5092		
Heart Rate (bpm)	121 ± 17	122 ± 29	123 ± 15	124 ± 22	0.8387		
SBP (mmHg)	178 ± 32	172 ± 29	175 ± 39	155 ± 41	0.2165		
DBP (mmHg)	78 ± 8	71 ± 7	77 ± 7	70 ± 18	0.8479		
Perceived exertion	18 ±1.6	19 ± 2.1	17 ± 2.9	18 ± 1.4	0.1502		
Ventilatory threshold							
Ventilation (L/min)	21.1± 5.6	24.4 ± 5.8	22.4 ± 4.6	21.3 ± 5.5	0.1587		
VO <sub>2</sub> peak (mLKg-1min-1)	13.3 ± 2.9	14.6 ± 2.5	13.6 ± 2.6	13.1 ± 2.8	0.1469		
Oxygen pulse (mL/beat)	11.3 ± 2.3	12.1 ± 2.9	11.1 ± 2.4	10.7 ± 2.7	0.1977		
*Significance at P≤0.05							

This study assessed the impacts of (HII) and (MIC) on ventilatory markers in patients with mild to moderate CHD. Compared HII group to MIC group, significant results were obtained in VO<sub>2</sub>peak and O<sub>2</sub>P in HII group.

The main findings of this study were that cardiopulmonary functions (peakVO<sub>2</sub> andO<sub>2</sub>P) in patients with CHD were significantly greater improved in the HII group when compared to MIC group. Also, slope of O<sub>2</sub>P exercise related differences were noticed in the HII group showed to be greater in high intensity versus lower intensity exercise (above 70% of cardiopulmonary exercise test duration).

In agreement with this study results, a randomized controlled study done by Anderson, 2016 [11] approved similar results when comparing the effect of HII to MIC on HF patients that the high intensity exercise may be an a great agent for enhancing aerobic capacity and both HII and MIC had improved quality of life in patients with HF.

Similar results were obtained by Gustavo et al. 2015 [12] approved that HII was better impact than MIC to enhance  $O_2P$  in patients with CHD. But the two groups HII and MIC had a similar effect to improve the slope of VE/VCO $_2$  and OUES.

Also, Taylor et al. 2004 [13] concluded in their review that moderate intensity aerobic exercise may be wasn't enough to improve the VO, peak in CHD patients actually acquires less than 1MET have been established. Taylor study is consistent with the present study results, explained that HII was effective, but MIC wasn't efficient to increase the aerobic fitness in CHD patients. In contrast to this study results, Conraads et al. 2015 [14] approved that HII and MIC resulted in influential and alike improvement in VO<sub>2</sub>peak. But their study duration mean of aerobic exercise in MI group was 47 minutes when compare nearly 30 minutes in the present study, and number of patients were eighty nine versus 28 in this study. These causes cauld be resulted in shortage of improvement in VO, peak, which noticed in this study for MI group.

O<sub>2</sub>P response is identified with myocardial ischemia in CHD patients [15] and greater responses is allied with a high prognosis in HF

patients [16].

 $\rm O_2P$  peak changes which caused by the HII exercise program were only noticed in intensity above 70% of maximal CPT. This result explained that the satisfying time of diastolic and ejection time of systolic lowered progressively when increased exercise intensity [17]. This diminishing of  $\rm O_2P$  may result in a flattening of stroke volume [18], considering it as specifically recorded in patients with impairment in ventricular function [19].

On the other hand, the present study evoked that diastolic stuffing and emptying of the ventricles are enhanced in endurance exercised patients, resulting in advanced improving of stroke volume during training [20,21]. Briefly, stroke volume improvements within the exercise training would be related to improved diastolic stuffing and ventricular emptying.

Hence, it is possible to theorize that the HII was only capable of improving diastolic stuffing and ventricular clearing at intensities of exercise reaching maximal HR, feasibly more than ventilatory entrance. The results of this study vouch for more evaluations analyzing the impacts of HII and MIC on O<sub>2</sub>P at sub maximal workloads, and likewise analyzing its physiological mechanisms in different stages of CHD.

In disagreement with current study results, the previous studies had provided enhancements of ventilatory efficiency after HII exercise program, results abide blended and disputable, with many researches showing particular marker enhancements, but no improvement in other variables [3,22]. No differences were found after training in slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and OUES in the present study. This result was unsuited with previous research in patients with HF [23,24].

Several interpretations explained the non significant change in slope of VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and OUES in the present study results. Firstly, in the present study all patients with heart failure were excluded. Also, all of the patients in the study were stable at intervention time with ejection fraction means of nearly 63%. VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope and OUES means at the beginning of the study were approximately (27.5 and 1.8) for all patients, which were under the common measures for high risk (typically more than 34 for slope of

VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> and less than 1.4 for OUES) and less than measures taken by researches providing an enhancements of the variables in consequences of exercise training [24-26].

So, it is probable to believe that the patients in this study had low rank to demonstrate efficient ventilatory gains than subjects with a severe level comprised in earlier studies.

Finally, the results of this study provided that HII had greater improvements in VO<sub>2</sub>peak and O<sub>2</sub>P than the MIC. But there were no differences between the both groups in their effects on VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope and OUES.

# **Limitations:**

The present study investigations included a small sample. This sample included patients with only mild or moderate CHD. Also, EF with approximately 63% may cause the inclined effects of exercise on ventilatory variables. In the present study more ventilatory variables of ventricular function, lung perfusion and arterial blood gases were needed to determine the underlying mechanisms of the impact of exercise on stroke volume and ventilatory competence which were not obtained in this study.

#### **CONCLUSION**

It was concluded that HII had greater improvements in VO<sub>2</sub>peak and O<sub>2</sub>P than the MIC. But there were no differences between the both groups in their effects on VE/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope and OUES in patients with CHD in a short term (up to twelve weeks).

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors thank all patients who participated in this study.

# **Conflicts of interest: None**

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Wong, ND. Epidemiological studies of CHD and the evolution of preventive cardiology. Nature reviews. Cardiology. 2014;11(5):276-89.
- [2]. Myers J, Arena R, and Dewey F. A cardiopulmonary exercise testing scores for predicting outcomes in patients with heart failure. Am H J. 2008;156(6): 1177- 1183.
- [3]. McConnell TR, Mandak JS, Sykes JS, Fesniak H, and Dasgupta H. Exercise training for heart failure patients improves respiratory muscle endurance, ex

- ercise tolerance, breathlessness, and quality of life. J Cardio Reh. 2003;23(1):10-16.
- [4]. Van Laethem C, Van de Veire N, Backer GD. Response of the oxygen uptake efficiency slope to exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur J H Fail. 2007;9(6):625-629.
- [5]. Swain DB and Franklin BA. Comparison of cardioprotective benefits of vigorous versus moderate intensity aerobic exercise. American J Cardio. 2006;97(1):141-147.
- [6]. Astengo M, Dahl A, Karlsson T, Mattsson-Hult'en L, Wiklund O, and. Wennerblom B. Physical training after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with stable angina: effects on working capacity, metabolism, and markers of inflammation. Eur J Cardio Prev and Reh. 2010;17(3):349-354.
- [7]. Kemi OJ and Wisløff U. High-intensity aerobic exercise training improves the heart in health and disease. J Cardio Reh and Prev. 2010;30(1):2-11.
- [8]. Oliveira RB, Myers J, and de Ara´ujo CG. Long-term stability of the oxygen pulse curve during maximal exercise. Clinics. 2011;66(2):203-209.
- Myers J, Gademan M, Brunner K, Kottman W, Boesch C, and Dubach P. Effects of high-intensity training on indices of ventilatory efficiency in chronic heart failure. J Cardio Reh and Prev. 2012;32(1):9-16.
- [10]. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, and Bricker JT. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for exercise testing: Summary article. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. Circulation. 2002;106(14):1883-1892.
- [11]. Anderson ZU. Comparative effects of high intensity interval training versus moderate intensity continuous training on quality of life in patients with heart failure: Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Clin Trial and Regul Sci in Cardio. 2016;13(1):21-28.
- [12]. Gustavo GC, Ricardo BO, and Paulo TV. Effects of High Intensity Interval versus Moderate Continuous Training on Markers of Ventilatory and Cardiac Efficiency in Coronary Heart Disease Patients. Sci W J. 2015;1(1):1-8.
- [13]. Taylor RS, Brown A, and Ebrahim S. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The A American Journal of Medicine. 2004;116(10):682-692.
- [14]. Conrads VM, Pattyn N, and De Maeyer C. Aerobic interval training and continuous training equally improve aerobic exercise capacity in patients with coronary artery disease: the SAINTEX-CAD study. Int J of Cardio. 2015; (179):203-210.
- [15]. Chaudhry S, Arena R, and Wasserman K. Exercise-induced myocardial ischemia detected by cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J of Cardio. 2009;103(5): 615-619.
- [16]. Oliveira RB, Myers J, and Ara´ujo CG. Doespeak oxygen pulse complements peak oxygen uptake in risk stratifying patients with heart failure. Am J Cardio. 2009;104(4):554-558.

- [17]. Martino M, Gledhill N, and Jamnik V. High VO2max with no history of training is primarily due to high blood volume. Med and Sci in Sport and Exer. 2002;34(6):966-971.
- [18]. Belardinelli R, Lacalaprice F, and Carle F. Exercise-induced myocardial ischemia detected by cardiop-ulmonary exercise testing. Eur H J. 2003;24(14):1304-1313.
- [19]. Jengo JA, Oren V, and Conant R. Effects of maximal exercise stress on left ventricular function in patients with coronary artery disease using first pass radionuclide angiocardiography. A rapid, noninvasive technique for determining ejection fraction and segmental wall motion. Circulation. 1979;59(1):60-65.
- [20]. Ferguson S, Gledhill N, Jamnik VK, Wiebe C, and Payne N. Cardiac performance in endurance-trained and moderately active young women. Med and Sci in Sport and Exer. 2001;33(7):1114-1119.
- [21]. Krip B, Gledhill N, Jamnik V, Warburton D. Effect of alterations in blood volume on cardiac function during maximal exercise. Med and Sci in Sport and Exer. 1997;29(11):1469-1476.
- [22]. Stein R, Chiappa GR, Güths H, Dall'Ago P, and Ribeiro JB. Inspiratory muscle training improves oxygen uptake efficiency slope in patients with chronic heart failure. J Cardio Reh and Prev. 2009;29(6):392-395.

- [23]. Myers J, Dziekan G, Goebbels U, and Dubach P. The influence of high-intensity exercise training on the ventilatory response to exercise in patients with reduced ventricular function. Med and Sci in Sport and Exer. 1999;31(7):929-937.
- [24]. Defoor J, Schepers D, Reybrouck T, Fagard R, and Vanhees L. Oxygen uptake efficiency slope in coronary artery disease: clinical use and response to training. Int J of Sport Med; 2006:27(9):730-737.
- [25]. Belardinelli R, Capestro F, Misiani A, Scipione P, and Georgiou D. Moderate exercise training improves functional capacity, quality of life, and endothelium dependent vasodilation in chronic heart failure patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators and cardiac resynchronization therapy. Eur J of Cardio Prev and Reh. 2006;13(5):818-825.
- [26]. Kemps HM, De Vries WR, and Schmikli SL. Assessment of the effects of physical training in patients with chronic heart failure: the utility of effort independent exercise variables. Eur J of App Physio. 2010;108(3):469-476.

#### How to cite this article:

Walid Kamal M. Abd Elbasset, Shereen H. Elsayed, Tamer I. Abo Elyazed. COMPARISON OF HIGH INTENSITY INTERVAL TO MODERATE INTENSITY CONTINUOUS AEROBIC EXERCISE ON VENTILATORY MARKERS IN CORONARY HEART DISEASE PATIENTS: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED STUDY. Int J Physiother Res 2017;5(3):2013-2018. **DOI:** 10.16965/ijpr.2017.126