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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Most hemiplegic patients who suffer from stroke experience restrictions on mobility at home and in the community, and they especially have difficulty with independent walking. Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) is one approach commonly used to improve the gait of patients with hemiplegia. Various PNF procedures have been used, depending on the affected site. Among these PNF techniques is facilitation of pelvic motion to improve control of the pelvis. Hence the study was done to compare the effects of pelvic PNF exercises and Hip extensor strengthening exercises on gait parameters of chronic hemiplegic patients.

**Materials and Methods:** 30 subjects were conveniently divided into either of the two groups namely Pelvic PNF Group (Group A) and Hip extensor strengthening Group (Group B). Subjects in Group A received a protocol of 3 PNF techniques for 3 days a week for a total duration of 4 weeks (12 sessions). These procedures were done to facilitate anterior elevation and posterior depression of pelvis in a side lying position. Subjects in Group B received a protocol for hip extensor strengthening exercise (HESE) program. Each session consisted of 3 sets of 15 performances of the 3-step program lasting about 30 min, with 30 seconds of relaxation time between the sets. The measurements of the variables i.e. Gait speed, Cadence, Stride Length, Step Length was taken twice, one at the beginning of the study (Pre) and one at the conclusion of the 4 week duration (Post). The pre post measurements of outcome measures were analysed.

**Results:** Following 4 weeks of Pelvic PNF exercises and Hip extensor strengthening exercises, there was a statistically significant increase in Gait parameters in all domains. However the increase was statistically more significant in the experimental group (Pelvic PNF) as compared to the control group (HESE).

**Conclusion:** Pelvic Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation technique is more effective than Hip extensor strengthening exercises in improving gait parameters such as stride length, gait velocity and cadence in chronic hemiplegic patients.
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About 500,000 are new strokes and 200,000 are recurrent strokes [5]. According to W.H.O (16 November 2011) the incidence of stroke in India was 130/100,000 individuals every year. The estimated age-adjusted prevalence rate for stroke ranges between 84/100,000 and 262/100,000 in rural and between 334/100,000 and 424/100,000 in urban areas. In India, nearly one-fifth of patients with first-ever stroke admitted to hospitals has been estimated to be aged 40 years or more. And the mean age was 54.5 years. It has been estimated that hypertension causes 54% of stroke in low-income and middle-income countries, followed by hypercholesterolemia (15%) and tobacco smoking (12%) [6]. The Indian Council of Medical Research estimates that among the non-communicable disease, stroke contributes for 41% of deaths and 72% of disability adjusted life years [7,8].

Most hemiplegic patients who suffer from stroke experience restrictions on mobility at home and in the community, and they especially have difficulty with independent walking [9]. The recovery of gait ability is an important goal of physical therapy for a stroke patient, because gait is an important element of functional independence [10]. With regard to this, the biggest loss after stroke is gait ability, and hemiplegic patients show disorders in the selective ability of regulated and coordinated movements, which results in a slow gait velocity and compensatory movements by the lower extremity of the unaffected side [11]. It is suggested that hemiplegic patients show a short stride length and slow gait velocity that result in damage to the joint and to the regulatory function of the muscles that are necessary for normal gait [12].

Due to central nervous system damage, stroke patients show muscle weakness, abnormal muscle tone, and disorders of balance and posture control, which result in difficulty in the control of movement [13]. For these reasons, problems occur with the quality and adaptation of the gait pattern, resulting from imbalance in the low extremity stance phase of the affected side and of the low extremity stance phase of the unaffected side, a decline in cadence and gait velocity, asymmetrical weight distribution, and a difference between step length and stride length [14,15].

Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) is one approach commonly used to improve the gait of patients with hemiplegia. Various PNF procedures have been used, depending on the affected site. Among these PNF techniques is facilitation of pelvic motion to improve control of the pelvis. Because the pelvis has been described as a “key point of control” for maintaining a gait pattern, techniques designed to affect the pelvis are widely used [16-19]. In persons with hemiplegia, posture, tone and coordinate reciprocal movements, which are required for normal gait, are usually impaired. Normal reciprocal pelvic movement is often replaced by a fixed pelvic retraction, which makes it difficult for patients to swing the affected lower extremity forward [19]. Co-ordination between moving body parts is essential for functional walking and is modified, often in a subtle manner, to accommodate variation in task requirements and circumstances, such as walking speed, path curvature, and environmental clutter [21,22]. The PNF approach to treatment uses the principle that control of motion proceeds from proximal to distal body regions. Facilitation of trunk control, therefore, is used to influence the extremities. If this treatment paradigm is valid, gaining control of and strengthening “normal” pelvic motions should improve lower extremity function [23].

Many patients remain unable to walk or have difficulties with walking after stroke. It has been reported that only a small proportion can walk with sufficient ability to function effectively within the community. The ability to walk independently is a prerequisite for many daily activities. The pelvis plays an important role as there is simultaneous motion at the pelvis along with the trunk and hip so as to keep the progression of the gait cycle in an efficient way. In a normal gait, pelvic rotation, pelvic tilt, and pelvic translation are important elements. Asymmetric pelvic alignment between the pelvis and the lower limbs affects the stability of the lower limbs and the trunk, making normal gait impossible. In common rehabilitation settings, pelvic PNF is not majorly implemented in improving gait performance in hemiplegics as it is not widely practiced enough even though it’s easy to administer and has proved to improve
gait performance in hemiplegics. Moreover the time constraint of a particular session with the patient gives rise to the need of proper intervention which will be effective in improving the gait performance and also implication of such interventions requires therapist’s attention and time, which should be used best by giving the more beneficial treatment. Thus, this study was done to find out which treatment technique will be more beneficial to the patients aiming to achieve proper ambulation, saving their time as well as giving a treatment best suited for their needs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**STUDY DESIGN:** Experimental Study design.

**TYPE OF SAMPLING:** Convenient sampling.

**SAMPLE SIZE:** 30 subjects

Group A: Pelvic PNF Group – 15 subjects


**INCLUSION CRITERIA:**
1. Patients with MCA non-traumatic ischemic infarction more than 6 months post stroke.
2. Patients between 40-65 years of age.
3. Both male and female subjects
4. Patients with stage 4-5 on Brunnstrom recovery stage for hemiplegics.
5. Patients able to perform 10 meter walk test

**EXCLUSION CRITERIA:**
1. Patients with severe disabling arthritis.
2. Patients with any cognitive dysfunction.
3. Any other cardiopulmonary deficits.
4. Any other neurological deficits involving sensory function.
5. Any other musculoskeletal disorder of lower extremity.
6. Patients currently undergoing any gait training exercises.
7. Patients who did not consent for follow up.

**TREATMENT DURATION:** 4 weeks; 3 sessions per week - total 12 sessions

**MEASUREMENT VARIABLES:**
1. Gait Velocity
2. Cadence
3. Stride length

**MATERIALS REQUIRED:**
1. Measuring Tape/Scale
2. Stop watch
3. Chart Walkway
4. Ink

**OUTCOME MEASURES:**
1. 10-m walk test (ICC values of 0.99–1.00)
2. Ink foot print method for stride length (test-retest reliability ICC values of 0.96–0.98)
3. Cadence.

**Procedure:** After screening the subjects for the above inclusion and exclusion criteria, subjects were included in the study. A consent form was filled by the subjects. The procedure was explained to the subjects and if any doubts, they were cleared.

Subjects were conveniently divided into either of the two groups namely Pelvic PNF Group (Group A) and Hip extensor strengthening Group (Group B) by picking up a chit. Subjects in both groups were demonstrated about the exercises and the testing method. Prior to commencement of protocol gait analysis was done at the beginning of the study in which each subject was measured for the gait parameters which are Stride length, cadence and gait velocity.

Subjects in Group A received a protocol of 3 PNF techniques i.e., rhythmic initiation, slow reversal and agonistic reversal for pelvis for 30 min for 3 days a week for a total duration of 4 weeks (12 sessions). Each technique was given for 10 minutes. These procedures were done to facilitate anterior elevation and posterior depression of pelvis in a side lying position. Appropriate rest time was given to each patient in between the protocol according to the patients comfort. The elements of PNF, such as manual contact, stretch, resistance, and verbal cuing, were incorporated into the treatment scheme.

Subjects in Group B received a protocol for hip extensor strengthening exercise (HESE) program. The HESE program comprised of three exercises:
1. Bridging
2. Hip joint extensor muscle strengthening in the prone position.
3. Hip joint extensor muscle strengthening in standing position.

The program was performed four times a week for four weeks. Each session consisted of 3 sets
of 15 performances of the 3-step program lasting about 30 min, with 30 seconds of relaxation time between the sets.

Along with this conventional treatment was continued for the upper extremity and other interventions such as stretching, relaxation, proprioceptive training was carried out commonly for both the groups. The measurements of the variables i.e. Gait speed, Cadence, Stride Length was taken twice, one at the beginning of the study (Pre) and one at the conclusion of the 4 week duration (Post).

**Intervention:** The Protocol Tables were followed for the intervention implementation as they are provided

**Statistical analysis:** Descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were carried out in the present study. Results on continuous measurements were presented on Mean ± SD and results on categorical measurement were presented in number (%). Level of significance was fixed at $p=0.05$ and any value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The Statistical software IBM SPSS statistics 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the analyses of the data. Collected data was analyzed using paired t test, to find out the significance of difference within the variables of two Groups i.e. Group A and Group B separately. Unpaired t test was employed to find out the significance of difference between the variables of Group A and Group B.

### GROUP A: Pelvic PNF Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Total duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhythmic Initiation</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow Reversal</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonistic Reversal</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GROUP B: Hip extensor strengthening protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercises</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridging</td>
<td>15 repetitions x 3 sets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip extension in prone</td>
<td>15 repetitions x 3 sets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip extension in standing</td>
<td>15 repetitions x 3 sets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULT**

**Stride Length:** Table 1 and Graph 1 shows intergroup comparison of Mean differences of Stride length between both the groups using unpaired t test. Unpaired t test showed significant increases ($p < 0.05$) in Stride Length readings of Group A when compared with Group B with p-value being $p = 3.76481E-06$. This implicates that the intervention in both the groups was effective in improving Stride Length but Group A was more effective than Group B.
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**Table 1:** Inter Group Comparison of Stride Length between Both Groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Interpretation of p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gait Velocity:** Table 2 and Graph 2 shows intergroup comparison of Mean differences of Gait Velocity between both the groups using unpaired t test. Unpaired t test showed significant increases ($p < 0.05$) in Gait Velocity readings of Group A when compared with Group B with p-value being $p = 1.73161E-06$. This implicates that the intervention in both the groups was effective in improving Gait Velocity but Group A was more effective than Group B.
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**Table 2:** Inter Group Comparison of Gait Velocity between Both Groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Interpretation of p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cadence: Table 3 and Graph 3 shows intergroup comparison of Mean differences of Cadence between both the groups using unpaired t test. Unpaired t test showed significant increases (p < 0.05) in Cadence readings of Group A when compared with Group B with p-value being p = 1.54976E-05. This implicates that the intervention in both the groups was effective in improving Cadence but Group A was more effective than Group B.

Table 3: Inter Group Comparison Of Cadence Between Both Groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Interpretation of p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.845215</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was done to compare the effects of Pelvic PNF exercises and Hip extensor strengthening exercises on gait parameters of chronic hemiplegic patients, where Group A was given Pelvic PNF exercises and Group B was given Hip Extensor strengthening exercises.

The results of this study demonstrated that the PNF techniques have significant effect on gait parameters in patients with hemiplegia. PNF is a method of neuromuscular dysfunction treatment, primarily by means of facilitating the flow of information, mainly by stimulation of proprioceptors [19].

In PNF position, sensory inputs from the periphery leads to stronger excitation of the cortical areas, leading to variations in the thresholds of a number of motor neurons, which was reflected in the motor evoked potentials [19]. In a study done by Trueblood et al (1989) it was revealed that the resisted PNF techniques have significant effect in the gait disturbances in hemiplegic patients (Trueblood et al, 1989) [23].

Intensive repetition of movement elicited by the facilitation technique (chiefly proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation, stretch reflex) improved voluntary movement of a hemiplegic lower limb in patients with brain damage.

From these results it seems that a treatment program using hip extensor muscle strengthening movements and therapist handling provides an appropriate environment for improvement of gait ability and for motivation of patients [13]. To elicit an improvement in hemiplegic patients’ stable gait, a much longer treatment period is required, and the stage and duration of stroke and a variety of forms of movement also need to be considered [20]. When a muscle contraction is resisted, that muscle’s response to cortical stimulation increases [25]. From this it can be understood that for hip joint muscle power strengthening movements to influence hemiplegic patients’ stable gait, several things are required at the same time: a long enough treatment period, a variety of movements and muscle power strengthening movements of the hip joint, knee joint, and ankle joint [20]. The effect of strengthening treatment on stroke patients depends on the treatment time, the movement form, and the patient’s positive participation [18].

The reason why there was more significant improvement in Pelvic PNF group after four weeks of movement therapy was the order of movement and other factors during the intervention. Patients with hemiplegia usually have slower gait speeds and shorter stride lengths than do subjects without hemiplegia. The probable mechanism by which PNF could have worked is by facilitating the neuromuscular mechanism, thus a greater motor response can be attained when employing facilitating techniques in addition to resistance [35-38]. Facilitation resulted from a number of factors such as application of stretch, use of particular movement patterns and use of resistance in order to induce irradiation [38].

The improvements recorded in this study regarding stride length were due to a more efficient limb swing due to appropriate recruitment of more motor units that resulted in more efficiency of advancing paretic limb in swing and in shifting weight to the paretic limb.
The improvement in gait velocity was due to an increase in cadence accompanied by an increase in average stride length of the subjects [38].

In PNF the specific pattern of anterior elevation and posterior depression is an integral aspect when gait swing is considered. As this movement pattern of the pelvis is reinforced, it increases motor response and motor learning that occurs due the facilitatory techniques along with resistance thus leading to the improvement in the gait parameters. All these techniques help to facilitate pelvic motion and stability, and enhance gait performance indirectly through irradiation thereby improving performance of participants in the experimental group [38].

Elzbieta (2006) assessed gait kinematics in patients with hemiplegia after the PNF; and noticed the difference of the values of analyzed kinematic parameters of gait according to the norm. It was concluded that the therapy of PNF method showed improvement of the performed gait disabilities in the subject.

Thus in the present study the intervention given in both the groups was shown to be effective, but the intervention given in Group A was found to be more effective when compared to Group B. Therefore Pelvic PNF exercises are more effective in improving the gait parameters in chronic hemiplegic patients than Hip Extensor Strengthening exercises.

CONCLUSION
This study concludes that pelvic Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation technique is more effective than Hip extensor strengthening exercises in improving gait parameters such as stride length, gait velocity and cadence in chronic hemiplegic patients.
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