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ABSTRACT

Background: Low back pain is a common health problem in the developed countries and is most commonly treated in primary healthcare settings. Improving functional performance in patients with chronic low back pain is of primary importance. The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of Muscle Energy Technique (MET) & Muscle Energy Technique (MET) along with supervised exercises on pain and functional performance in subjects with chronic nonspecific low back pain.

Objective: To evaluate the effect of impact of muscle energy technique along with supervised exercise program over muscle energy technique on quadrates lumborum and iliopsoas on pain and functional disability in non specific low back pain.

Methodology: 30 diagnosed low back pain patients were assigned in to 2 groups. The Group A Muscle Energy Technique while Group B received Muscle Energy Technique along with supervised exercise program. Both groups received the selected treatment over a 2 week periods. Outcome measures were evaluated at baseline and 2nd week.

Results: The study shows significant improvement in pain and functional disability in both groups those who received MET and MET along with supervised exercise program. But improvement was more marked in group who received MET along with supervised exercise program.

Conclusion: The research hypothesis which states that there was more improvement in pain and functional disability who trained with Muscle Energy Technique along with supervised exercise program than only muscle energy technique in chronic non specific low back pain.
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INTRODUCTION

Low back pain is second only to common cold as a cause for office visits to physicians in adults and for work absence in people <55 years of age. Most people suffer an episode of low back pain during life. Only 14% have an episode lasting...
abdominis, internal and external oblique, transverse abdominis and diaphragm [7-10]. Together these muscles increase the intraabdominal pressure thus imparting functional stability to lumbar spine. Lateral “Core Muscles includes multifidus, quadratus lumborum, psoas major, hip extensores, hip flexors and pelvic floor musculature.

Support and stability to low back arise from the muscles mainly Iliopsoas and Quadratus Lumborum. Biggest factor in low back pain is involvement of these muscles. When these muscles become contracted due to injuries, poor posture, prolong sitting or stress, it can alter norma; biomechanics of pelvis and lumbar, thoracic and even cervical vertebra and cause back pain [11,12]. Another treatment approach for low back pain is Muscle Energy Technique of Iliopsoas and Quadratus Lumborum.

Greenman defined “MET “ as manual medicine treatment procedure that involves the involun-
tary contraction of patient muscle in a precisely
controlled direction , at varying level of inten-
sity , against a distinctly executed counterforce
applied by operator [3,11,12].

It has been hypothesized that MET can be used
to lengthen and strengthen muscles, to increase
fluid mechanics and decrease local edema and
to mobilize restricted articulation.

Deep segmental muscle inhibition and atrophy has been observed in low back pain patients and occurs at specific site of pain. Fryer has sug-

Deep segmental muscle inhibition and atrophy has been observed in low back pain patients and occurs at specific site of pain. Fryer has sug-
gested that MET may stimulate joint and muscle proprioreceptors producing an improvement in deep segmental muscle recruitment motor control and joint stability [11].

Shenk et al performed a Randomized Control Trial to determine effectiveness of Muscle Energy Technique for increasing lumbar extension and improve functional disability in asymptomatic individuals. While author didn’t mention control group treatment , they reported a stastically difference (p,0.05) in the increase of lumbar extension in the experimental group [13,14].

Mechanical Low back pain may be specific or nonspecific. According to many reviews of literature it has been shown the support of MET for acute low back pain for improving functional ability when used in combination with super-
vised neuromuscular re-education and resista-
nce exercise training.

While muscle energy technique has been found to have increased audience with the clinicians, very little has been published in the peer reviewed literature on these interventions [15,16]. There is dearth in the literature regarding the effect of MET as an isolated treatment on non-specific low back pain. It is therefore useful to explore the effectiveness of treatments that may assist people with LBP, particularly those treatments such as MET which are non-invasive and are likely to be safe and inexpensive.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample:** Total of 30 low back patients were chosen for the study. They were assigned randomly into 2 groups based on the inclusion criteria.

**Group A:** Muscle energy technique

**Group B:** Muscle energy technique along with supervised exercise program

**Inclusion criteria:** Low back pain of less than 12 week duration, No difference of Age and Gender, Age 18-30 years, Initial ODI Score: 30-60%, Patient is otherwise medically fit to perform physical training.

**Exclusion criteria:** Back pain attributed to any pathology (disc herniation, tumors, infection, fracture, osteoporosis, structural deformity, inflammatory disorder, radicular symptoms, spondylolisthesis)

1. Constant or severe back pain judged on clinical grounds due to nerve root irritation.
2. Major surgery within past year.
3. Motor weakness, absent or diminished muscle strength and reflexes.
4. Any medical condition contra indicative to physical activity.

**Study design:** Pre test- Post test Experimental Study Design.

**Procedure and Protocol:** The study consisted of 30 low back pain patients who were randomly divided into 2 groups based on the inclusion criteria. Prior to the participation, all subjects were informed about the study and an informed consent was taken.

Independent variable: Muscle energy technique
Dependent variable: Oswestry disability index and Numerical pain rating scale

**Group A** received Muscle Energy Technique for Illiopsoas & Quadratus lumborum for 2 weeks (5 days per week)

**Muscle energy technique for Illiopsoas:** Position of the patient: supine with buttocks at the end of couch and non treated leg fully flexed at hip and knee and held by patient. Patient flex the hip against the resistance & hold it for 7-10 seconds, inhale as he slowly builds up an isometric contraction. Hold the breath for 7-10 seconds. Release the breathe on slowly ceasing contraction. Inhale & exhale fully once more following the ceasing of all efforts. After release, a rapid stretch is applied to new barrier & held for 10 seconds. Patient relaxed for 10 seconds and same procedure was repeated for 5 times.

**Muscle energy technique for Quadratus lumborum:** Position of the patient: side lying with uppermost arm fully extended. Position of the therapist: behind the patient at waist level. Inhale and abduct the uppermost leg and hold the leg and breathe for 7-10 seconds allowing the gravity to provide resistance. Patient then hang the leg behind him over the back of the table. Release the breathe on slowly ceasing contraction. Therapist cradles the the pelvis with both hands to take out all slack during exhalation. Hold stretch for 10 seconds. Patient relaxed for 20 seconds and same procedure was repeated for 5 times.

**Group B** received Muscle Energy Technique along with supervised exercise program for 2 weeks (5 days a week.).

**Supervised exercise program:** Hot pack for 10mins, 2-channel TENS for 10mins, static abdominals (10 repetitions -10 seconds hold each), static back extensors (10 repetitions-10seconds hold each), static glutei (10 repetitions-10seconds hold each), pelvic bridging (10 repetitions-5seconds hold each), pelvic rolling (5 repetitions each side-5 seconds hold each), Cat-Camel (5 repetitions each-5seconds hold each), Superman (5 repetitions each side-seconds).

Both groups intervention began with 20 minutes of moist heat with patient in supine recumbent position. The subjects were assessed for numeric pain rating scale and Oswestry disabil
Pain and Disability Index prior to the treatment at baseline and then finally reassessed after 2 weeks.

**DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS**

Pre-post intervention analysis was done using paired t-test in both control and experimental groups, which showed that there was significant improvement in the post intervention ODI score and NPRS in both the groups.

### Table 1: Group A Paired Sample T-Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired difference</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>Std Mean Error</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Sig.(2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre ODI Post ODI after 2 wk</td>
<td>29.73</td>
<td>1.498</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre NPRS Post NPRS after 2 wk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both ODI and NPRS has shown significant result.

### Table 2: Group B Paired Sample T-Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired difference</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>Std Mean Error</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Sig.(2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre ODI Post ODI after 2 wk</td>
<td>35.13</td>
<td>1.659</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre NPRS Post NPRS after 2 wk</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both ODI and NPRS has shown significant result.

### Table 3: Mean, Std. Dev and Standard error of Mean of subjects before and after the treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GP</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Deviation</th>
<th>Std Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre ODI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42.53</td>
<td>5.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post ODI After 2 wk</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42.87</td>
<td>6.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre NPRS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post NPRS After 2 wk</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both ODI and NPRS has shown significant result.
**DISCUSSION**

The study was carried out to see the impact of muscle energy technique on quadrates lumborum and iliopsoas on pain and functional disability in non specific low back pain. There was improvement in NPRS scores and oswestry disability index after 2 weeks training program in both groups. There was reduction in NPRS and ODI scores in both groups after 2 weeks. Another important observation was that there was more reduction in NPRS and ODI scores in group B after 1st and 2nd week as compare to Group A. Both groups received hot packs for 20 minutes prior to the treatment. French et al demonstrated that the application of the hot packs prior to the treatment increases the pain threshold. The proposed effect of this effect includes direct and immediate reduction of the pain by activation of the spinal gating mechanism and more prolonged reduction of the pain by reduction of the ischemia and muscle spasm. Nerve firing rate has also found to be change in temperature. These changes in the firing rate thought to be contribute a reduction in the firing rate alpha motor neuron thus to reduce the muscle spasm.

Group A who received MET showed significant improvement. Previous work has demonstrated that weakness and atrophy of the core muscle and shortening of the postural muscle is usually present in low back pain. Recently it has been proposed that this is caused by impaired motor control rather than lack of use leading to the abnormal spinal movement caused by decrease proprioception and a decrease in precision of muscle coordination. when this lumber corset is unstable, the pelvis held in increased elevation, accentuated when walking, resulting in L5-s1 stress and this result in low back pain. MET is found to be significant in reducing by stretching these shortened muscle and strengthen the weakened muscle. Sandra yale (1991) mentioned that when muscle is isometrically contracted, its antagonist will be inhibited and will relaxed immediately. In post isometric relaxation, sustained contraction of agonist muscle stimulate the GTO in the tendon of muscle, since their response to such contraction seems to be set the tendon and the muscle to a new length by inhibiting it. Thus reduces the tension in the postural muscles, hence reduces the pain assessed by NPRS scores and improves the disability by as assessed by ODI scores. As pain reduces, its functional outcome of the patient improves leading to reduction on ODI scores. Wilson et al concluded that MET is effective in reducing pain, increasing lumbar ROM and decreasing functional disability in non specific back pain.

Group B who received MET along with supervised exercise program has got added effect on reducing pain and improving functional disability. Eric Wilson where he did a pilot clinical trial, examining the outcomes of Muscle Energy Technique (MET) along with supervised exercise program in patients with acute low back pain. He reported that MET combined with supervised motor control and resistance exercises may be superior to neuromuscular re-education and resistance training for decreasing disability and improving function in patients with acute low back pain. Patil Prachi et al also concluded the efficacy of MET along with supervised exercise in reducing disability. It stated that MET on quadratus lumborum & illopsoas combined with exercise therapy is more effective in reduction in disability and increasing spinal range of motion than interferential therapy alone in patients with chronic non specific low back pain.

**CONCLUSION**

This study suggest that MET has got added beneficial effect for decreasing disability and improving function in patients with chronic non-specific low back pain along with supervised exercise compared to the subjects who were exposed to MET only.
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