PREVALENCE OF SUPERNUMERARY TEETH IN NORTH INDIAN POPULATION: A RADIOLOGICAL STUDY
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Introduction: Supernumerary teeth are defined as any tooth or odontogenic structure that is formed from a tooth germ in excess of the usual number for any given region of the dental arch [1]. Supernumerary teeth have been found in all areas of the dental arches and may present in both the permanent and primary dentitions, but are five times less frequent in the primary dentition [2]. Supernumerary teeth appear with a higher frequency in men than in women, with a 2:1 ratio [3,4]. The reported frequency in permanent dentition varies from 0.1 to 3.6% in the general population [5]. A few cases of inherited forms have also been reported [2]. Supernumerary teeth (ST) have been classified...
mainly based on their morphology and location. According to the morphology as conical, tuberculate, supplemental, and odontoma [4,6]. Mitchell (1989) classified ST on basis of location as mesiodens, paramolar, and distomolar [4]. While Scheiner and Sampson (1997) classified it as mesiodens, paramolar, distomolar, and parapremolars [6]. The mesiodens is located between the two central incisors and these are mostly conical in shape [7]. Distomolars are located distally to the third molar, while paramolars are located palatally or labially next to a molar [8]. Parapremolars are located adjacent to a premolar.

ST occur singly or in multiples, unilaterally or bilaterally, either in maxilla, mandible, or both [3]. They occur ten times more in maxilla, especially premaxilla, than mandible [5,9]. Multiple supernumerary teeth may be associated with conditions such as cleidocranial dysplasia, Gardner syndrome, and cleft lip and cleft palate. Clinically, it can cause disorders like retention of primary teeth, delayed eruption of permanent teeth, ectopic eruptions, tooth displacements, follicular cysts, and other alterations which require surgical as well as orthodontic treatments [10].

They are more commonly located on the maxillary midline, where they are referred to as mesiodens, representing 80% of all the supernumerary teeth. This location is followed in decreasing order of frequency by upper distomolars, upper paramolars and proportionately far behind by lower premolars, upper lateral incisors, lower distomolars and lower central incisors. Upper premolars are exceptional, as are upper and lower canines and lower lateral incisors [11].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Digital Panoramic Orthopantograms (OPGs) of 1025 subjects attending the Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, King George’s Medical University, Lucknow were collected in a CD (soft copy) for retrospective study of supernumerary teeth. OPGs of permanent dentition were only considered for the study. OPGs of children and persons with fallen teeth were not included in the study. OPGs were studied carefully on a computer by a skilled dentist for various types of supernumerary teeth according to location and morphology to analyse their prevalence in North Indian population. Gender wise prevalence was also documented.

**OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS**

Among radiographs of 1025 patients, the prevalence of ST according to location was observed in only 0.8% cases (n=8) of which 0.7% (n=7) were located in maxilla (upper arch) and 0.1% (n=1) in mandible (lower arch). Mesiodens was observed in 0.2% cases (n=2) in maxillary arch of which one case depicted one mesiodens (Fig. 1a) while the other case showed two mesiodens (Fig.1b). Paramolar was witnessed in 0.1% case (n=1) which was bilateral, present between first and second molar on both sides and mandibular in origin (Fig. 2). Prevalence of distomolar was 0.4% (n=4) of which three cases exhibited left distomolar (Fig. 3a) and one case revealed bilateral distomolar (Fig. 3b), all were maxillary in origin. Parapremolar was viewed in 0.1% case (n=1) which was maxillary and situated on left side (Fig. 4). Considering the morphological classification, only conical variety was seen in 0.2% cases (n=2) which was mesiodens in location wise (Fig. 1a&b). Tuberculate, supplemental and odontome variety were not seen in the present study. Higher prevalence of ST was noticed in males (n=5, 62.5%) as compared to females (n=3, 37.5%). Mesiodens (n=2), paramolar (n=1) and parapremolar (n=1) were only seen in males. Distomolars (n=4) were present in both genders but higher predilection in females (1 male and 3 females).

**Fig. 1a:** OPG showing one mesiodens (arrow) in maxillary arch.

**Fig. 1b:** OPG showing two mesiodens (arrows) in maxillary arch.
Archana Rani et al. PREVALENCE OF SUPERNUMERARY TEETH IN NORTH INDIAN POPULATION: A RADIOLOGICAL STUDY.

Fig. 2: OPG showing bilateral paramolar (arrows) in mandibular arch.

Fig. 3a: OPG showing left distomolar (arrow) in maxillary arch.

Fig. 3b: OPG showing bilateral distomolar (arrows) in maxillary arch.

Fig. 4: OPG showing left parapremolar (arrow) in maxillary arch.

DISCUSSION

Supernumerary teeth are not an uncommon finding in dental practice, occurring in 0.1-3.8% of the population [12-14]. Several studies have been done to know the incidence of the supernumerary teeth in different populations.

The prevalence of supernumerary teeth in the present study was 0.8% which is in agreement with the previous studies. Mahabob et al. (2012) examined 2216 non-syndromic South Indian population and found that out of total 27 supernumerary teeth, 18 (66.7%) were located in the maxilla and 9 (33.3%) in the mandible. In maxilla, the most common supernumerary teeth was mesiodens (44.44%, n=12) followed by distomolar (14.81%, n=4) and premolar (7.4%, n=2) while in mandible, the most common location was premolar (22.22%, n=6) followed by distomolar (11.11%, n=3) [15]. Saha et al. (2016) also noted similar findings in Bengali population of India. He witnessed that in 89 cases (70.1%), ST were present in the upper arch; in 28 cases (22.0%) in the lower arch and in 10 cases (7.9%) they were present in both the arches [16].

The findings of our study runs parallel with these studies, as we also found that the maximum number of supernumerary teeth was located in maxilla (0.7%, n=7) as compared to mandible (0.1%, n=1). Gopakumar et al. (2014) found that the maximum incidence of supernumerary teeth in South Kerala region (South India) was mesiodens (0.14%) followed by paramolars (0.13%), third premolar (0.04%) and distomolar (0.03%)[17]. But the present study in North Indians reported that the most common supernumerary teeth was distomolar (0.4%, n=4) followed by mesiodens (0.2%, n=2), paramolar (0.1%, n=1) and parapremolar (0.1%, n=1). Schmuckli et al. (2010) studied the supernumerary teeth in Swiss community and noted that the conical variety was the most frequent type, comprising 70%, followed by the supplemental (25%) and the tuberculate (5%) morphological types [18]. We noted only the conical type (0.2%), rest of them was not seen in our study.

Fardi et al. (2011) done a radiographic study in a North Greek population and reported the prevalence of supernumerary teeth to be 1.8% [19]. Bäckman and Wahlin (2001) clinically examined 739 Caucasian children and found 14 cases with at least one supernumerary tooth. They also noted that the majority of the supernumerary teeth were mesiodens [12]. Results of a study investigating the frequency of supernumerary teeth in a Mexican population suggested that mesiodens was the most frequent, followed by premolars, lateral incisors and 4th molars [20]. In a survey of 2,000 school children, Brook (1974) found that supernumerary teeth were present in 0.8% of primary dentitions and in 2.1% of permanent dentitions [21].

In permanent dentition, the prevalence ranges from 0.4% to 2.1% for Caucasians while 2.4%, 3.4%, and 6% for Southern Chinese [22], Japanese [23], and American Blacks [24].
Van der Merwe and Steyn (2009) reported a higher incidence of ST (7%) in a South African mining community of the skeletal remains [25]. Gender wise distribution of our study runs parallel with the findings of Brook (1974) who also reported that the incidence of ST for males was higher than females [21]. Contrarily, Bäckman and Wahlin (2001) reported more female predilection [12]. Mitchell (1989) reported that females are more commonly affected than males with a 2:1 ratio in permanent, while no significant difference was found in primary dentition [4]. But the unique finding of our study is that distomolars are more common in females as compared to males in North Indian population which was not reported in the literature till now. The results of the present study are comparable to the data reported in other studies, while the dissimilarities may be attributed to the sample selection, method of the study, assessment process, racial and genetic differences in different population. Anomalies of teeth may be due to defects caused by genetic disturbances or environmental factors during tooth morphogenesis. The favoured hypothesis is the excessive growth of the dental lamina or hyperactivity of the dental lamina, which can be proposed as being responsible for the formation of additional tooth germs [26]. According to this theory, the lingual extension of an additional tooth bud leads to a eumorphic tooth, while the rudimentary form arises from proliferation of epithelial remnants of the dental lamina induced by the pressure of the complete dentition [27]. However, combinations of genetic and environmental factors were shown to be responsible factors for the occurrence of ST.

ST may erupt or remain unerupted. Due to their shape and volume they often hinder eruption and development of the permanent tooth related to them, causing crowding, displacement, diastema, retention, radicular resorption [28]. If the supernumerary teeth are related to local disorders (diastema, delayed eruption or displacement) or if there is associated pathology, they should be extracted whenever the problem would be detected [29].

**CONCLUSION**

The prevalence of supernumerary teeth was 0.8% in present study with higher predilection in males than females. Various complications can occur if supernumerary teeth remain impacted. Therefore, clinically as well as radiologically, a sound knowledge is necessary for better patient care.
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